IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Graduate Theses and Dissertations

Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations

2016

Neuronal pentraxin 2, brain atrophy and cognitive decline

Ashley Swanson Iowa State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/etd Part of the <u>Human and Clinical Nutrition Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Swanson, Ashley, "Neuronal pentraxin 2, brain atrophy and cognitive decline" (2016). *Graduate Theses and Dissertations*. 15434. https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/etd/15434

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.



Neuronal pentraxin 2, brain atrophy and cognitive decline

by

Ashley Swanson

A thesis submitted to the graduate faculty

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Major: Nutritional Science

Program of Study Committee:

Auriel Willette, Major Professor Matthew Rowling Marian Kohut

The student author and the program of study committee are solely responsible for the content of this thesis. The Graduate College will ensure this thesis is globally accessible and will not permit alterations after a degree is conferred.

Iowa State University

Ames, Iowa

2017

Copyright © Ashley Swanson, 2017. All rights reserved.



www.manaraa.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	iii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
ABSTRACT	vii
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION Thesis Organization Purpose of Study	1
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW Alzheimer's disease Neurodegenerative Pathology Neuroinflammation Proinflammatory Mechanisms Proteomics Research	3 3 5 7 10 12
CHAPTER 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	14
CHAPTER 4. RESULTS Demographics and inflammation biomarkers CSF inflammatory biomarker selection (step wise regression) Effects of diagnosis and covariates on NPTX2, C3LP1 (mixed models) Neuropyschological testing: baseline and over 24 months (mixed models) Brain: baseline MTL volume and atrophy over 24 months (mixed models) CSF biomarkers: baseline amyloid and tau (mixed models)	20
CHAPTER 5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	29
CHAPTER 6. SUPPLEMENTAL TEXT	32
CHAPTER 7: REFERENCES	47



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Mass Spectrometry Biomarkers and Baseline Global Cognition	24
Figure 2. NPTX2 and Memory Performance across Time	25
Figure 3. Mass Spectrometry Biomarkers and Medial Temporal Atrophy across Time	27
Supplemental Figure 1. NPTX2 and Memory Performance across Time	43
Supplemental Figure 2. Mass Spectrometry Biomarkers and Medial Temporal Atrophy across Time	44
Supplemental Figure 3. Modulation of NPTX2 and CSF Amyloid Associations by APOE	45



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Demographics and Summary Indices	20
Supplemental Table 1. Inflammation Related Biomarkers	46



www.manaraa.com

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First off, I wish to thank Dr. Auriel Willette, my major professor and mentor, for allowing me to be a part of a unique, hard working lab and participate in such novel research. I also appreciate his help as a mentor throughout my career as a Master's student. I had the good fortune to be his first student and I am grateful for this experience into the realm of nutrition and neuroscience. It was a journey from start to finish and I have learned a great deal.

I would like to thank Dr. Matthew Rowling for his guidance and wisdom that began when I was an undergraduate in one of his nutrition courses and continued throughout the process of applying for graduate school and on through my Master's experience. Without him, none of this would be possible. He has always been a great mentor and for that I am appreciative.

I would like to thank Dr. Marian Kohut who I had the dual fortune of having as a professor in my physiology course as well as on my committee. She was wonderful to meet with and was always supportive throughout the entire process. I learned a lot from her, both in class and in person, and am thankful for her support.

I would like to thank my lab mates. Specifically, I would like to thank Tovah Wolf, who was a source of support and advice throughout graduate school. We were always able to help each other out with data analysis and laboratory procedures.

I would like to thank Iowa State University, specifically the Food Science and Human Nutrition Department and the faculty, for allowing me to spend six wonderful years here. I will treasure them always.



v

I would also like to thank my family and friends for always being there for me throughout this graduate experience. Without their support, this career goal wouldn't have been possible.

I wish to thank Brandon Klinedinst for his invaluable help in conducting Lasso regression. I would also like to thank the funding sources of this study, as well. This study was funded by Iowa State University and NIH AG047282. Data collection and sharing for this project were funded by the ADNI (National Institutes of Health Grant U01-AG-024904) and Department of Defense ADNI (award number W81XWH-12-2-0012). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the Alzheimer's Association and the Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private-sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study at the University of California, San Diego. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California. The data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the ADNI database (adni.loni.usc.edu). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report.



vi

ABSTRACT

Chronic neuroinflammation is thought to potentiate medial temporal lobe (MTL) atrophy and memory decline in Alzheimer's disease (AD). It has become increasingly important to find novel immunological biomarkers of neuroinflammation or other processes that can track AD development and progression. Our study explored which pro- or antiinflammatory cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers best predicted AD neuropathology over 24 months. Using Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative data (N=285), CSF inflammatory biomarkers from mass spectrometry and multiplex panels were screened using stepwise regression, followed up with 50%/50% model retests for validation. Neuronal Pentraxin 2 (NPTX2) and Chitinase-3-like-protein-1 (C3LP1), biomarkers of glutamatergic synaptic plasticity and microglial activation respectively, were the only consistently significant biomarkers selected. Once these biomarkers were selected, linear mixed models were used to analyze their baseline and longitudinal associations with bilateral MTL volume, memory decline, global cognition, and established AD biomarkers including CSF amyloid and tau. Higher baseline NPTX2 levels corresponded to less MTL atrophy [R^2 =.287, p<.001] and substantially less memory decline [R^2 =.560, p<.001] by month 24. Conversely, higher C3LP1 modestly predicted more MTL atrophy $[R^2=.083, p<.001]$, yet did not significantly track memory decline over time. In conclusion, NPTX2 is a novel pro-inflammatory cytokine that predicts AD-related outcomes better than any immunological biomarker to date, substantially accounting for brain atrophy and especially memory decline. C3LP1 as the microglial biomarker, by contrast, performed modestly and did not predict longitudinal memory decline. This research may advance the current understanding of AD etiopathogenesis, while



expanding early diagnostic techniques through the use of novel pro-inflammatory biomarkers, such as NPTX2. Future studies should also see if NPTX2 causally affects MTL morphometry and memory performance.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; medial temporal lobe; inflammation; immunology; amyloid; tau; memory; biomarkers; NPTX2; C3LP1



CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Thesis Organization

My thesis begins with a review of the literature on Alzheimer's disease, neuroinflammation, synaptic plasticity, as well as pro-inflammatory cytokines and pentraxins, with their speculated and probable immunological role in the etiopathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease being included.

The next section of my thesis consists of the methods conducted during my study, followed by the results obtained and a discussion of the meaning of these results. Lastly, I've included a conclusion of the study to summarize the implications for this novel study for the future of the field of AD biomarker and proteomics research.

Purpose of Study

The vast majority of research to date has been on studying the upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and their specific role in the chronic neuroinflammatory mechanisms that underlie AD pathogenesis. My study proposes to highlight the need for understanding that these cytokines are functionally pleiotropic in their regulation of neuroinflammation and cannot simply be thought of as "good" or "bad" protein phenotypes.

Therefore, it is worthwhile to explore established and novel CSF pro- or antiinflammatory biomarkers that are associated with baseline and longitudinal AD neuropathology and memory performance in aged participants across the AD spectrum. In this study, we used data from a database called Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) to analyze 285 aged adult subjects at baseline and through months 6,



12, and 24. CSF peptide biomarkers of neuroinflammation were isolated from baseline liquid chromatography/multiple reaction monitoring mass spectrometry (LC/MRM-MS) (<u>http://adni.loni.usc.edu/about/centers-cores/biomarker/</u>), as well as a CSF multiplex protein array.

Based on the initial stepwise regression analyses conducted (see Section 2.8 in Methods and 3.2 in Results), NPTX2 and C3LP1 were selected for significance and further examined. The selected biomarkers were analyzed to explore their baseline and longitudinal associations with gray matter (GM) volume in bilateral MTL and a memory factor, as well as global cognition and established AD biomarkers such as CSF A β 1-42 and tau, and phosphorylated tau (ptau).



CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

Alzheimer's disease

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is clinically characterized by a number of components including global cognitive impairment, memory decline, loss of activities of daily living, a neural accumulation of tau and amyloid, and neuronal death, which manifest as profound atrophy of important brain regions. In order for one to be clinically diagnosed with AD, one needs evidence of memory loss and a deficit in at least one other cognitive domain, such as loss of executive function¹.

Historically, AD brain pathology has been characterized by an accumulation of two primary protein aggregate suspects gone awry: extracellular beta-amyloid (A β) plaques and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (NFT)². This led to the amyloid cascade hypothesis, whereby amyloid precursor protein (APP) undergoes cleavage by proteolysis resulting in beta-amyloid fragments that clump together and form plaques, with increased concentrations found in the AD brain^{2,3}. More recently, the hypothesis has evolved to state that perhaps the A β that is not isolated in plaques actually drives the disease ⁴ and thus also plays a role in hippocampal function and long-term potentiation (LTP)⁵.

Tau is a microtubule-associated protein (MAP), and through various mechanisms becomes hyperphosphorlyated in AD and in the vast majority of research is thought to contribute to neurodegeneration by disrupting normal tau proteins ⁶. However, recent AD research aims to debate the extent of how bad tau hyperphosphorylation really is, with it providing probable cause that some tau phosphorylation may be neuroprotective and actually combat against $A\beta$ -induced excitotoxicity⁷. Research has proposed that $A\beta$ plaques have the ability to trigger the formation of toxic tau tangles, which results in the



two aggregates working together to disrupt normal cell function and signaling pathways in the brain ^{8,9}. Today, while A β plaques and NFT are the only hallmark lesions that are being used for clinical diagnosis, with a certain number of lesions being required for diagnosis ^{1,3}, new proteomics research has suggested a vastly different paradigm to fully understand the many factors that contribute to the etiopathogenesis and progression of AD rather than the single "amyloid and tau" pathology hypothesis¹⁰.

There is a current rise in AD prevalence, with research projecting that by the year 2050 one new case of AD will develop every 33 seconds and nearly 1 million new people will develop it annually in the United States¹¹. The total costs for caring for this AD/dementia related population in 2011 was around \$186 billion per year in the United States and is slated to increase to about \$1.1 trillion dollars per year by 2050^{12} . The aging baby boomer generation, along with an increased life expectancy, is what will largely propel the drastic increase in AD rates. Research efforts have been focused on the biological implications in this disease, as well as potential therapies that could prevent or delay it. Currently, there are no effective preventative treatments or cures for AD, only symptomatic remedies¹³. While most of the therapies in research are aimed at targeting the classical A β plaques and Tau tangles, other mechanisms of pathological treatments that could decrease A β and Tau tangles as a secondary effect and block progression of the disease are focusing on modulation of oxidative damage, cholesterol homeostasis and inflammation¹³.



Neurodegenerative Pathology

AD is typified by progressive medial temporal lobe (MTL) atrophy and memory decline¹⁴. Neurodegenerative processes that contribute to this occur in specific brain areas like the neocortex and limbic system and are characterized by synaptic damage and neuronal death, with these changes corresponding to the classical cognitive impairment and memory loss associated with AD^{10,15}. AD neurodegeneration also results in decreased synaptic plasticity and neurogenesis, which reveals that the etiopathogenesis of AD on the brain could affect two neurophysiological factors: a degradation of mature neurons and a decreased generation of new, functional neurons¹⁵.

The molecular process of synaptic plasticity, specifically the formation of new synaptic connections, an alteration of gene expression and increased protein synthesis, is a crucial component to the conversion of a short term memory to the storage of a long term memory in the brain, specifically in the hippocampus, located in MTL^{16–18}. The modulatory physiological process of synaptic plasticity is a complex mechanism that consists of inotropic glutamate receptors, N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) and α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA), cohesively having an impact on the post-synaptic response to allow for acquisition of memory in the hippocampal region ^{19–21}. Decades ago, scientists discovered the neurophysiology of how synaptic plasticity contributes to LTP, a mechanism that occurs along the perforant path, a known neural pathway that connects the entorhinal cortex to the hippocampal region and is important in mediating the processes of both spatial memory learning and consolidation²². It is important to understand that effects on the storage of information encoded through synapses in the brain arise from changes in synaptic plasticity on a



specific set of neurons, rather than on one synapse²³. The synaptic plasticity and memory hypothesis (SPM), first characterized by Martin et al ²⁴ proposes that the concept of memory formation occurs through a reactivation of 'traces' of memory, which is based on changes in the strength of synapses, effectively known as activity-dependent synaptic plasticity ²⁵. Long term potentiation and long term depression (LTD), a strengthening and weakening of the efficacy of synapses respectively, occurs at synapses through an activation of neurotransmitter receptors like NMDA and post-synaptic Calcium (Ca²⁺) concentrations, with the amount of synaptic strength proportional to the their levels²³.

The modality for the degradation of synapse function in AD is still not understood and further research is needed to understand the physiology behind the loss of synaptic transmission that underlies cognitive deficits²⁶. There is a current amyloid hypothesis that proposes that synaptic toxicity, which is a result of A β oligomers, causes AD and leads to synaptic degradation and loss^{27,28}. Both pathological cognitive disorders and age-related cognitive decline seem to be similarly related to the levels of synaptic plasticity that decrease over time, with the same neurobiological mechanism that occurs in AD paralleling what happens in normal aging, just to a greater extent. One study by Van Guilder et al. used an animal model to test their previous hypothesis, in which a decrease in synaptic transmission will correspond with decreased cognitive decline in aged rats versus adult rats²⁹. In their study, they found that in aged rats the level of dysfunctional synaptic plasticity proteins that were expressed had a direct effect on hippocampaldependent memory and learning functions, with lower expression related to decreased cognition²⁹.



It has also been concluded that inhibitory regulation of synaptic genes in the biological pathways of AD, including the pathways of inflammation, oxidative stress, energy homeostasis and synapse transmission, is affected by the risk factor of age and is a crucial factor in the development and progression of AD^{30-32} . Changes in synaptic strength are mostly attributed to glial cells of the immune system, also known as astrocytes, because they contain neurotransmitter receptors that can regulate synaptic plasticity and transmission through a multitude of mechanisms that function to alter neuronal physiology³³.

It has become increasingly important to find novel, immunological biomarkers that can track AD development and progression. Neuroinflammation may be a useful process to examine, as it is an early and continuous feature of AD³⁴ that underlies neurodegeneration and cognitive deficits³⁵. The study of proteomics has the potential to reveal predictive biomarkers that underlie many of the molecular pathways associated with etiology of AD, and aid in early diagnosis and treatments as well as the diagnosis of patients progressing from mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to AD¹⁰. More research needs to be conducted to analyze how to prevent or treat cognitive impairment by repairing synaptic plasticity alterations that occur with age-related/AD-related cognitive decline²⁹.

Neuroinflammation

In 2015, the emergence of neuroinflammation as a probable cause in the pathology of AD, prompted the Alzheimer's Association Roundtable to meet and analyze its mechanistic contributions to the etiopathogensis and progression of AD. They



concluded that there is a very present need for scientific research to advance the understanding of the molecular patterns of neuroinflammation that underlie the various stages of AD, and to find novel biomarkers of inflammation and innate immunity that could be used in the therapeutic prevention and treatment of AD ³⁶.

There is widespread evidence for the role of neuroinflammatory mechanisms to underlie the neurodegenerative processes of AD^{37} . Neurotoxic inflammatory mechanisms may initiate AD pathogenesis³⁸. It is thought that chronic inflammation may even precede A β and tau pathology in late-onset AD^{39} . Neuroinflammation, on the cellular subunit level, occurs through the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), Interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), and Interleukin-6 (IL-6) primarily from microglia, but also astrocytes, brain endothelial cells (BECs), and neurons themselves^{38,40-42}. Levels of these cytokines and downstream effectors are higher in the AD brain⁴³ and may mediate neural atrophy over time⁴¹. This activity potentiates mitochondrial degradation and cell damage via release of reactive nitrogen and oxygen species³⁹. Other complex factors modulate these responses, including complement proteins, anti-inflammatory cytokines, macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), C-reactive protein (CRP), and S100 β ³⁴.

Microglial activation is most often potentiated in AD by Aβ peptides, neurofibrillary tangles, and neuronal cell degradation³⁸. Microglia, the immune cells of the brain, are also known as the macrophages of the CNS with prominent roles in homeostatic regulation of synaptic plasticity and neuronal pathways and in initiation of neuroinflammation through a release of inflammatory mediators³⁶. Microglia have been implicated to exercise roles in the healthy CNS through neurogenesis and synapse



formation, further highlighting their role in synaptic plasticity modulation and neurophysiological homeostasis^{44,45}. Furthermore, microglial cells have come to be known as a "double-edged sword" based on their level of activation, as they can alter their phenotype based on a healthy state or neurodegenerative state, with the latter propelling microglia to retract and instigate phagocytosis causing them to lose their homeostatic, synaptic regulation^{36,45,46}.

Many studies now attribute the regulation of Aβ-induced neuroinflammation to AD genetic risk factors like Apolipoprotein E (APOE), where AD patients with the APOE ε 4 allele have increased brain inflammation, amyloidosis, and microglial activation^{38,47,48}. This cyclic process of activating cytokines and A β plaques, which can subsequently increase APOE expression and amyloid deposition, is thought to be an initial inflammatory mechanism that plays a role in AD etiopathogenesis^{34,49}. However, while classic pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 β and IL-6 can potentiate brain atrophy, they are not necessarily ideal AD biomarkers. For example, pro-inflammatory cytokines at lower concentrations induce and maintain hippocampal LTP and neural plasticity, brain homeostasis, plaque clearance via activated microglia, and tissue repair $^{34,50-52}$, where these effects are impaired at higher concentrations⁵³. Since many molecules of the immune system can demonstrate opposite functions, neuropathological characterization of neuroinflammation provides little information on its actual role in AD pathogenesis³⁹. Therefore, there is no easily labeled "detrimental" phenotype based on the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines by activated microglia in the brain⁵⁴, as they exercise context-dependent pleiotropic effects based on concentration, which can vary considerably within and across individuals⁵⁵. Furthermore, these inflammatory



mediators are inherently context-dependent, allowing for multifunctional roles in the innate immune system^{48,55}. These paradigms highlight the difficulty in selecting effective pro- or anti- inflammatory biomarkers to detect and track AD. Some studies hypothesize that a more effective intervention would be to re-balance inflammatory signals to limit AD progression^{56–58} as a result of the complex immunological mechanisms that participate in the inflammatory processes resulting from an activated microglial event such as aging or brain injury^{49,59}

Pro-Inflammatory Mechanisms: A Role for Pentraxins and Related Biomarkers

It is also important to consider other pro-inflammatory modulatory mechanisms that do not induce chronic neuroinflammation. For example, synaptic plasticity in MTL is in part regulated by the pentraxin superfamily, such as neuronal pentraxin 2 or NPTX2⁶⁰. Specifically, NPTX2, also known as neuronal-activity regulated protein (NARP), facilitates excitatory synapse formation, learning, and memory by clearing extracellular debris to anchor α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) channels^{60–62}. In general, pentraxins, including NPTX2, have been understudied in past scientific research with few efforts being done to understand their cellular and physiological mechanisms. An underlying theme of the pentraxin research that has been conducted has found that they play a prominent role in neuronal synaptic plasticity and LTP as a novel immediate-early gene (IEG)^{61,63}. Established research has revealed that the storage of long-term memories are modulated by structural and synaptic changes through a cAMP-activation of IEG's¹⁶. One study found that *nptx2b*, the gene for NPTX2 in zebra fish, is able to modulate synaptic plasticity in hyporcretin/orexin



(HCRT) neurons through circadian regulatory mechanisms⁶⁴, which are affected by both circadian clock balance and sleep deprivation. The concentration of NARP, a protein in rats homologous to NPTX2, is increased in the adult cortex and hippocampus of the brain with roles in neuronal growth, synaptic physiology and the associated LTP that arises from NMDA receptor activation⁶³. One study concluded that NARP, as well as other associated pentraxins like Neuronal Pentraxin 1, interact and correspond to synaptic plasticity in the brain through association with AMPA type glutamate receptors, from development through adulthood ⁶⁵. NPTX2 has the potential to predict progression of MCI to AD because this biological protein is a marker in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of both neuronal degradation and synaptic loss ¹⁰. NPTX2 mRNA expression has also been found to be upregulated in neurons and glia of the substania niagra and frontal cortex in Parkinson's Disease (PD), another neurodegenerative disorder, and is thought to play roles in PD dysfunction as a result of synaptic alterations in the cerebral cortex⁶⁶.

Historically, both the pentraxins and receptor (NP1, NPTX2 and NPR) had been proposed to function similar to acute phase proteins in the acute phase of immunity by binding and clearing extracellular pathogens, synaptic debris and toxins from the neurons, further elucidating their role of protection and modulation of synaptic plasticity^{67,68}. Pentraxins have the ability to recognize damaged cells and instigate apoptosis to clear away cellular debris⁶⁹. Indeed, neuronal pentraxins exercise activitydependent synaptic plasticity roles in both neuronal and retinal cells as a result of their ability to mark synaptic sites for degradation and cellular turnover⁶⁸. The pentraxin family is characterized by a structural motif called a pentraxin domain⁷⁰. New research has elucidated the pentraxins' physiological regulatory effects on the immune system,



inflammation, homeostasis and apoptosis^{69,70}. Neuronal Activity-Regulated Pentraxin (NARP) has also been linked to the pentraxin known as C-reactive protein (CRP) of the acute phase response due to many similarities in pentraxin structure and its function as a calcium-dependent lectin⁶³.

While there is an obscure amount of research that has been done on NARP and pentraxins in general, there is a wealth of research about CRP in the field of immunology and inflammation. CRP, a proinflammatory regulatory protein and a known activator of the complement C system in the acute phase response of immunity⁷¹, is speculated to have a protective mechanistic role as it is able to modulate and balance inflammatory reactions via activation or deactivation of the C system⁷². CRP, notably the first pattern-recognition molecule (PRM) to be discovered, is an immunological pentraxin of humoral innate immunity that can lead to an activation of adaptive immunity and tissue repair⁶⁹.

As microglial activation is also important for potentiating specific aspects of AD pathogenesis⁷³, related biomarkers have been investigated such as Chitinase 3-like Protein 1 (C3LP1). C3LP1, a derivative of chitin protein, is a marker of macrophage/microglial activation^{74–79}. Serum and CSF C3LP1 levels are increased in preclinical and early AD^{74,80}, further suggesting its potential utility.

Proteomics Research

Peptidomics and multiplex techniques may reveal novel immunological biomarkers of chronic neuroinflammation or other processes that best predict MTL atrophy and memory decline. There is a current need of a disease-modifying therapy for AD¹³. Due to the multifaceted etiopathology of AD, new proteomics research is needed



to advance the understanding and diagnostic tools of this disease by looking at molecular mechanisms and the physiology underlying this disease¹⁰. Analysis of biochemical markers that can be used to diagnose the various stages of this disease, as well as elucidation of neurobiological changes that occur throughout AD, are vital to advancing this field¹⁰.



CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1. Participants

Baseline mass spectrometry and multiplex data from ADNI were available for 86

cognitively normal (CN), 135 Mild Cognitively Impairment (MCI), and 64 AD

(adni.loni.usc.edu). The following ADNI data were also available for this cohort:

1. Demographics including age, sex and education;

2. Clinical diagnosis at baseline and month 24, as well as MCI conversion;

3. MRI scans;

4. CSF A β 1-42, total tau, and phosphorylated tau (p-tau181);

5. Apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 genotype;

6. Global cognitive measures and factor scores.

By month 24, MCI participants were classified as either remaining stable (MCI-S, n = 82) or progressing to AD (MCI-P, n = 47), with the remainder diagnosed as CN. Details of the consensus procedure by the ADNI Conversion Committee are described elsewhere⁸¹. We chose to focus on month 24 as an endpoint for comparison to our previous work⁸¹, and because there is much less MRI data available after month 24.

3.2. Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

Written informed consent was obtained from all ADNI participants at their respective ADNI sites. Site-specific institutional review boards approved the ADNI protocol.



3.3 Clinical and Cognitive Assessments

Global cognition and assessment scores for the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), clinical dementia rating-sum of boxes (CDR-sob) and AD assessment scalecognitive subscale 11 (ADAS-cog11) were examined at baseline and at 6, 12, and 24 months. Diagnoses were made by ADNI based on criteria described in the ADNI1 procedure manual (http://adni.loni.usc.edu/). A memory factor score⁸² was also examined at baseline and longitudinally. Memory decline was defined as difference scores between baseline and either 6, 12, or 24 months after. Memory factor data from baseline to months 12 and 24 was missing for 0 and 27 participants respectively.

3.4. CSF Amyloid and Tau

CSF sample collection, processing, and quality control of p-tau181, total tau, and A β 1-42 are described in the ADNI1 protocol manual (www.adni.loni.usc.edu) and elsewhere⁸³. Total tau and A β 1-42 values were not available for 3 and 1 participants respectively.

3.5. Mass Spectrometry and Multiplex Biomarkers in CSF

Data was downloaded from the Biomarkers Consortium CSF Proteomics liquid chromatography/ multiple reaction monitoring mass spectrometry (LC/MRM-MS) dataset. As described previously⁸⁴, the ADNI Biomarkers Consortium Project investigated the extent to which selected peptides, measured with LC/MRM-MS, could discriminate among disease states. Briefly, 567 peptides representing 221 proteins were targeted in a single run (Caprion Proteome Inc., Montreal, QC, Canada). Raw intensities



were derived and extensive quality control used to derive log intensities. The ADNI Biomarker core used the natural log to transform analyte values to normalize variance in the sample. Nine neuroinflammatory biomarkers were present, represented by 21 CSF peptides. A larger CSF multiplex array, containing 27 additional pro-inflammatory biomarkers (**Supplemental Table 1**), was also utilized for comparison to LC/MRM-MS (see below).

For the LC/MRM-MS panel, the nine biomarkers of interest were: Alpha-1antitrypsin; Complement 3; CD14; IL-18; C3LP1/YKL-40; Osteopontin; C-Reactive Protein (CRP); Neuronal Pentraxin 1 (NPTX1); and NPTX2. **Supplemental Text 1** describes all nine derived peptides and protein functions specific to inflammation. Different peptides from a single protein were selected as candidate biomarkers based on peptides that best predicted diagnostic status⁸⁴, or using stepwise regression analyses and follow up validation tests (see below). Due to the relatively small number of proinflammatory indices in the LC/MRM-MS peptide biomarker panel, we also explored if mass spectrometry analytes selected from that panel were again selected when simultaneously testing protein biomarkers from the larger CSF multiplex assay. Briefly, a Luminex xMAP immunoassay panel (Rules Based Medicine, Austin, TX) was used to measure 159 CSF analytes, including several pro- and anti-inflammatory proteins. As shown in **Supplemental Table 1**, 27 CSF proteins were selected based on the literature linking them to one or more inflammatory processes.



3.6. MRI and Tensor Based Morphometry

T1-weighted volumes at baseline and months 6, 12, and 24 were downloaded. Bilateral MTL gray matter (GM) volume was derived, as it shows reliable atrophy over the AD spectrum and is susceptible to neuroinflammation⁸⁵. Baseline images were processed using FreeSurfer 4.3 as described previously (see "UCSF FreeSurfer Methods" at www.adni.loni.usc.edu). Fifty-five baseline scans were rejected for analysis based on failed QC checks. Tensor Based Morphometry (TBM) was used to gauge atrophy over time. Jacobian maps were generated between baseline and either month 6, 12, or 24 volumetric scans⁸⁶. Degree of contraction was expressed as a percentage decrease relative to baseline, reflecting progressive brain atrophy. T1-weighted scans at months 6, 12 and 24 were missing for 13, 18 and 58 participants respectively.

3.7. APOE Genotype

The ADNI Biomarker core at the University of Pennsylvania conducted APOE $\varepsilon 4$ genotyping. We characterized participants as being "non-APOE4" (i.e., zero APOE $\varepsilon 4$ alleles) or "APOE4" (i.e., one to two APOE $\varepsilon 4$ alleles).

3.8. Statistical Analyses

All statistical mixed model analyses were conducted using SPSS 23.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). All variables had homoscedastic variance and were normally distributed or log transformed.



3.8.1. Stepwise Regression: Biomarker Selection

The nine peptide biomarkers of interest (See Section 2.5) were screened using stepwise regression which, when used correctly, is useful for variable selection and model building^{87,88}. The first goal was to determine which inflammation-related LC/MRM-MS biomarkers were significant predictors of MTL volume and memory performance at 24 months. A subsequent goal was take selected mass spectrometry biomarkers and use stepwise regression while incorporating multiplex proteins, to see if MRM peptides and/or multiplex proteins were selected for model building. Covariates were entered into a given model as the first step. The nine peptide analytes representing nine candidate proteins were added in a stepwise step. In models with multiplex biomarkers, they were added in a subsequent stepwise step. The default threshold of P<.05 for inclusion and P>.10 for exclusion of variables were used. Based on these regression analyses (see Section 3.2 in Results), NPTX2 and C3LP1 were the only consistently significant biomarkers, and thus became the main predictor variables for the focus of our study. Stepwise regression iterates through each potential biomarker and removes it from the model if P>.10, minimizing the need for type 1 error correction.

3.8.2. Linear Mixed Models: Biomarker Testing on Outcomes

The two selected peptide biomarkers, NPTX2 and C3LP1, were subsequently analyzed with linear mixed models, to determine their baseline and longitudinal associations with GM atrophy in bilateral MTL or a memory factor. We used a single model to examine the main effects of NPTX2 and C3LP1 at baseline, or their interaction with Time longitudinally, on global cognition, memory, and bilateral MTL volume. Time



was defined as change relative to baseline at months 6, 12, and 24. Similar analyses were conducted for global cognition, Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR), and CSF amyloid and tau. Longitudinal analyses of CSF tau, p-tau181 and A β 1-42 were not performed due to lack of longitudinal ptau-181 data in ADNI. Again, the CSF samples of NPTX2 and C3LP1 used for our statistical analyses were derived from the LC/MRM-MS (see Section 2.5).

Linear mixed models, followed by least significant differences (LSD) follow-up tests, also gauged if CSF NPTX2 or C3LP1 levels differed by baseline diagnosis (CN, MCI, or AD) or MCI conversion (MCI-S or MCI-P). All subsequent models except for cognitive outcomes included the following covariates: age at baseline, education, sex, APOE ɛ4 genotype, and either baseline diagnosis or MCI conversion. Mixed models also covaried the random effect of subject. Models gauging global cognition, the CDR assessment, and the memory factor did not covary baseline diagnosis or MCI conversion, because these measures are directly used to diagnose participants as CN, MCI, or AD or are direct outcomes of disease diagnosis.

Finally, on an exploratory basis, interactions were examined between both NPTX2 and C3LP1 and covariates that were statistically related to them, including APOE ɛ4 genotype, age, and education.



CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

4.1. Demographics and Inflammation Biomarkers

Table 1 lists demographics, APOE ɛ4 genotype data, and other baseline sample

characteristics. Based on subsequent analyses, log-transformed CSF analyte levels of

NPTX2 (TESTLNALLQR) and C3LP1 (ILGQQVPYATK) are noted.

Table 1. Demographics and Summary Indices

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; ADAS-cog11, AD Assessment Scale-Cognitive Subscale; APOE4, apolipoprotein ɛ4 allele status; C3LP1, Chitinase 3-like Protein 1; CDR-sob, Clinical Dementia-Rating Sum of Boxes; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; NPTX2, Neuronal Pentraxin 2. Note: Variables are shown as mean ± standard error or frequency count.

	CN (n=86)	MCI (n=135)	AD (n=66)	MCI-S (n=82)	MCI-P (n=47)
Age	75.70 ± 5.54	74.69 ± 7.35	74.98 ± 7.57	74.77 ± 7.37	74.64 ± 7.40
Education	15.64 ± 2.97	16.00 ± 2.96	15.11 ± 2.96	15.78 ± 3.19	16.32 ± 2.58
Sex (F,M)	42, 44	44, 91	29, 37	22, 60	20, 27
APOE4 (-/+)	65, 21	64, 71	19, 47	41, 41	20, 27
CDR-sob	0.02 ± 0.11	1.56 ± 0.88	4.34 ± 1.56	1.52 ± 0.87	1.65 ± 0.94
MMSE	29.05 ± 1.02	26.91 ± 1.74	23.52 ± 1.85	26.98 ± 1.68	26.85 ± 1.81
ADAS-cog11	6.05 ± 2.90	11.72 ± 4.33	18.88 ± 6.71	11.52 ± 4.33	12.33 ± 4.34
Memory Factor	0.98 ± 0.50	-0.15 ± 0.57	-0.91 ± 0.55	-0.10 ± 0.56	-0.26 ± 0.57
C3LP1	23.03 ± 0.03	23.13 ± 0.02	23.20 ± 0.03	23.14 ± 0.03	23.10 ± 0.03
NPTX2	10.70 ± 0.08	10.62 ± 0.06	10.31 ± 0.09	10.71 ± 0.09	10.43 ± 0.11



4.2. CSF Inflammatory Biomarker Selection (Stepwise Regression)

As a first step, stepwise regression was used to select inflammatory biomarkers that best predicted memory decline and atrophy by 24 months. As described in **Supplemental Text 1**, 9 peptides representing 9 proteins were chosen as candidate inflammatory biomarkers. All peptides were log-transformed by the ADNI Biomarker Core to achieve normality⁸⁴. Results were similar when considering the outcomes at 12 months, or when all 21 peptide analytes were entered into the stepwise step for month 24.

For memory performance by 24 months, covariates accounted for a moderate proportion of variance [Adjusted R^2 =.164, F=11.10, P<.001]. Stepwise selection of NPTX2 [Adjusted R^2 =.202, F-change=12.91, P<.001] and then C3LP1 [Adjusted R^2 =.215, F-change=5.17, P<.001] significantly improved the model. Using 10 random samples of 50% of the cohort or Lasso regression to validate model selection (**Supplemental Text 2**), NPTX2 and C3LP1 were consistently selected as the only significant predictors.

For MTL volume by 24 months, a similar pattern emerged. Covariates initially explained nearly half of the variance [Adjusted R²=.477, F=42.27, P<.001]. NPTX2 [Adjusted R²=.514, F=17.62, P<.001] and subsequently C3LP1 [Adjusted R² = .546, Fchange=16.79, P<.001] were again selected as the only significant predictors. Using stepwise regression with random sampling or Lasso regression to validate the model (**Supplemental Text 2**), NPTX2 and C3LP1 were again selected as the only significant predictors.



Finally, as described in **Supplemental Text 3**, NPTX2 and C3LP1 were selected in the stepwise step when they were iteratively added into a model with 27 CSF proteins related to inflammation from the multiplex immunoassay (**Supplemental Table 1**). These results suggest that NPTX2 and C3LP1, respectively biomarkers of inflammationmediated excitatory synaptic plasticity⁶⁰ and macrophage/microglia⁸⁰ activity, may be useful for tracking AD neuropathology and cognitive decline and should be investigated further.

4.3. Effects of Diagnosis and Covariates on NPTX2 and C3LP1 (Mixed Models)

Having selected NPTX2 and C3LP1, their associations with clinical diagnosis and covariates were then ascertained with linear mixed models. There was a main effect of baseline diagnosis on NPTX2 [F=4.120, P=.017]. **Table 1** indicates a modest step-wise decrease in log-transformed NPTX2 levels from CN to AD [P=.005] and MCI to AD [P=.034], but not CN to MCI [P=.242]. MCI-P had lower NPTX2 levels than MCI-S [F=4.04, P=.047]. A main effect of baseline diagnosis on C3LP1 was also significant [F=3.32, P=.037]. **Table 1** indicates a modest step-wise increase in log-transformed C3LP1 levels from CN to AD [P<.001], MCI to AD [P=.045] and CN to MCI [P=.002]. MCI-S and MCI-P did not differ for C3LP1 values [F=0.358, P=.551].

For covariates, on an exploratory basis, APOE4 carriers had higher C3LP1 [F=7.81, P=.006], but similar NPTX2 values [F=0.15, P=.696]. Older age at baseline was related to higher C3LP1 [R²=.391, F=63.91, P<.001], but not NPTX2 [F=0.38, P=.539]. There was a trend for more years of education predicting higher NPTX2 [F=1.77,



P=.053], but not C3LP1 [F=1.00, *P*=.317]. Sex was not a significant predictor for NPTX2 [F=0.01, *P*=.973] or C3LP1 [F=1.32, *P*=.251].

4.4. Neuropsychological Testing: Baseline and Over 24 Months (Mixed Models)

Next, the associations of NPTX2 and C3LP1 were investigated with baseline and longitudinal indices of global cognition and function, as well as memory with linear mixed models. As shown in **Figure 1**, higher baseline NPTX2 and C3LP1 levels were, respectively, related to better and worse baseline global cognitive and assessment outcomes. Specifically, higher NPTX2 levels were correlated with higher MMSE $[\beta\pm SE=1.24\pm0.22, F=32.85, P<.001]$, lower CDR-sob $[\beta\pm SE=-0.81\pm0.15, F=28.22, P<.001]$ and lower ADAScog-11 $[\beta\pm SE=-3.34\pm0.54, F=38.40, P<.001]$ (**Figure 1A,C,E**). Higher C3LP1, conversely, was associated with lower MMSE $[\beta\pm SE=-1.43\pm0.37, F=15.26, P<.001]$, higher CDR-sob $[\beta\pm SE=1.18\pm0.26, F=21.13, P<.001]$, and higher ADAS cog-11 scores $[\beta\pm SE=4.45\pm0.92, F=23.55, P<.001]$ (**Figure 1B,D,F**). Similar patterns were seen across time (see **Supplemental Text 4**).





Figure 1. Mass Spectrometry Biomarkers and Baseline Global Cognition

Associations between baseline global cognitive and assessment outcomes with baseline CSF NPTX2 or C3LP1. Blue and red circles respectively correspond to NPTX2 and C3LP1 CSF values in predicting MMSE (A,B), CDR-sob (C,D), and ADAS-cog11 (E,F). The R^2 value reflects the proportion of variance in cognitive scores explained by each biomarker. Covariates included age at baseline, sex, Apolipoprotein $\varepsilon 4$ genotype, and education. ADAS-cog11, Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale-cognitive subscale 11; C3LP1, chitinase-3-like-protein 1; CDR-sob, Clinical Dementia Rating sum of boxes; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; NPTX2, neuronal pentraxin 2.

For the memory factor, higher NPTX2 and C3LP1 at baseline respectively

corresponded to better [R²=.051, F=11.76, P<.001] or worse [R²=.072, F=9.67, P=.002]



baseline performance. A NPTX2 x Time [F=8.88, P<.001] interaction revealed that higher baseline NPTX2 strongly corresponded to less memory decline over time relative to baseline, particularly by month 24 where NPTX2 explained 56% of the variance (**Figure 2**). By contrast, a C3LP1 main effect [F=8.851, P=.003], with a non-significant C3LP1 x Time interaction [F=1.73, P=.180], indicated that higher baseline C3LP1 showed a weak association (R²=.04) with memory decline regardless of time. See **Supplemental Figure 1** for a trajectory curve showing predicted change in memory decline over time for NPTX2. As a confirmation analysis using 10 randomized iterations of 50% of the sample (**Supplemental Text 5**), relative effect sizes and P values for NPTX2 and C3LP1 were comparable. Exploratory interactions with covariates revealed no significant effects.



Figure 2. NPTX2 and Memory Performance across Time

Associations between baseline NPTX2 and change over time for the memory factor score relative to baseline at months 6 (A), 12 (B), and 24 (C) thereafter. Blue circles correspond to NPTX2 values. The R^2 reflects the proportion of variance in the memory factor as explained by NPTX2. Covariates included the fixed effects of age at baseline, sex, APOE ε 4 genotype, and education, as well as the random effect of subject. NPTX2, neuronal pentraxin 2.



4.5. Brain: Baseline MTL volume and Atrophy over 24 Months (Mixed Models)

Next, the associations of NPTX2 and C3LP1 were investigated with baseline MTL volume and longitudinal, cumulative MTL atrophy relative to baseline with linear mixed models. Higher baseline NPTX2 [R^2 =.050, F=6.91, *P*=.009] was correlated with more basal MTL volume. A NPTX2 x Time interaction [F=16.61, *P*<.001] showed that higher NPTX2 corresponded to less MTL atrophy over time, particularly by month 24 (**Figure 3A-C**). By contrast, C3LP1 showed no association with MTL volume at baseline [R^2 =.008, F=0.05, *P*=.817]. A C3LP1 x Time interaction [F=12.09, *P*<.001] indicated that while baseline C3LP1 was slightly associated with atrophy over time, it was relatively modest compared to NPTX2 (**Figure 3D-F**). **Supplemental Figure 2** shows trajectory curves for predicted change in MTL atrophy over time for NPTX2 and C3LP1. These results were confirmed (**Supplemental Text 5**) when testing models with randomly selected 50% sub-samples of the cohort. Exploratory interactions with covariates revealed no significant effects.





Figure 3. Mass Spectrometry Biomarkers and Medial Temporal Atrophy across Time

Associations between baseline NPTX2 (A,B,C) or C3LP1 (D,E,F) and cumulative change in medial temporal lobe (MTL) gray matter (GM) volume, expressed as a percentage relative to baseline at months 6, 12, and 24 thereafter. The blue and red circles correspond to NPTX2 and C3LP1 values respectively. The R² reflects the proportion of variance in MTL GM volume as explained by a given biomarker. Covariates included the fixed effects of age at baseline, sex, APOE ε 4 genotype, and education, as well as the random effect of subject. C3LP1, chitinase-3-like-protein 1; NPTX2, neuronal pentraxin 2.

4.6. CSF Biomarkers: Baseline Amyloid and Tau (Mixed Models)

Finally, it was important to gauge how NPTX2 and C3LP1 were related to

amyloid and tau, which are hallmarks of AD, with linear mixed models. Higher NPTX2

and C3LP1 were respectively related to a less or more AD-like CSF amyloid and tau

profile. Specifically, higher NPTX2 was associated with higher CSF A β 1-42

 $[\beta \pm SE = 9.09 \pm 4.44 F = 4.20, P = .041]$, lower total tau $[\beta \pm SE = -23.89 \pm 4.07, F = 34.43]$



P<.001], and lower p-tau181 [β ±SE=-4.34±1.45, F=8.93, *P*=.003]. By contrast, higher C3LP1 was not significantly associated with CSF A β 1-42 [β ±SE=-9.52±7.48, F=1.61, *P*=.204], but corresponded to higher total tau [β ±SE=25.67±6.87, F=13.96, *P*<.001] and higher p-tau181 (β ±SE= 7.71±2.46, F=9.83, *P*=.002].

Finally, we explored interactions between C3LP1 or NPTX2 and age, education, and APOE ε 4 genotype, given that the covariates predicted variation in C3LP1 and NPTX2. **Supplemental Figure 3** shows that higher levels of NPTX2 were related to less amyloid pathology for non-APOE4 carriers [β ±SE= 22.15±7.93, F=7.79, *P*=.006], but not for APOE4 carriers. No other interactions were significant.



CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

The aim of our study was to explore which established or novel pro- and antiinflammatory CSF biomarkers from the ADNI Biomarker Core panels best predicted MTL atrophy and memory decline, as well as other AD indices affected by neuroinflammation. NPTX2 and C3LP1 consistently loaded as the only significant predictors of both MTL volume and memory performance by 24 months. They also predicted other AD aspects including global cognition and function, as well as CSF measures of amyloid and tau. Links with APOE4 status and age were found, where age has also been linked to chronic neuroinflammation over time due to age-related proinflammatory effects on the brain⁸⁹. Along the AD spectrum in our study, there was a modest step-wise increase in C3LP1 and decrease in NPTX2.

These juxtaposed patterns are underscored by the global neuropsychological findings. As shown in **Figure 1** and supplemental data, higher NPTX2 reflected a significantly less AD-like pattern of global function at baseline and relative decline through month 24, while higher C3LP1 modestly corresponded to a slightly more ADlike pattern. Curiously by month 24, C3LP1 was a poor predictor for memory across time, while NPTX2 accounted for more than half of the variance among all participants. This could reflect NPTX2's role in synaptic plasticity and long-term potentiation^{60,63,90}. However, NPTX2 could merely reflect the AD process, while synaptic loss reliably accompanies dementia onset^{15,91,92}. Higher NPTX2 similarly correlated with less MTL atrophy over time and AD neuropathology at baseline, further highlighting its potential use to track etiopathogenesis and progression. Non-APOE4 carriers showed a relationship between Aβ and NPTX2, while APOE4 carriers did not, suggesting that the



APOE risk factor may modulate the effect of NPTX2 or an upstream mechanism. It is unclear if NPTX2 exercises a causal or correlational effect on one or more neurological and cognitive aspects of AD.

The lack of association of C3LP1 with memory scores may be due to its modest relationship with MTL atrophy over two years. Chronic neuroinflammation in AD arises from Aβ-dependent and independent activation of microglia and astrocytes⁹³. The release of pro-inflammatory cytokines is thought to potentiate AD pathogenesis. It is clear in this report that higher baseline C3LP1 has some modest association with AD progression, as it is significantly corresponded to global cognition, tau, and AD risk factors such as age and APOE4 status. However, baseline levels of C3LP1 were not significantly related to CSF amyloid levels. Sutphen and colleagues⁷⁹ similarly found in middle-aged, cognitively normal participants that YKL-40 (i.e., C3LP1) levels increased with age and APOE4 status, where longitudinal but not baseline associations were seen with amyloid positivity. Kester and colleagues⁷⁵ found that YKL-40 levels at baseline and longitudinally were higher in patients with MCI and AD.

Our report is particularly novel because we investigated the degree to which NPTX2 and C3LP1 track neuropathology and memory decline over time along the AD spectrum. Several limitations and strengths should be noted. Protein expression of the NPTX2 and C3LP1 peptides cannot be validated in the current dataset, as ADNI CSF samples are not readily accessible. The ADNI Biomarker Core has only assessed peptides at baseline, where longitudinal collection is needed for future work. Thus, no causal inferences can be made, and results should be considered exploratory for driving hypothesis generation. To contain type 1 error, we chose to focus structural analyses on



MTL and consequent memory performance. It could be that C3LP1 is a better predictor for global atrophy or regions other than MTL. Finally, we only analyzed subjects in ADNI, where there are to our knowledge no other readily accessible AD datasets with mass spectrometry, MRI, and neuropsychological data. For strengths, this large sample size study used an unbiased stepwise selection process and follow up stepwise validation test to select candidate biomarkers in CSF. We also highlight that NPTX2 was an excellent predictor of AD neuropathology and especially cognitive decline over time.

In conclusion, NPTX2 is a novel immunological cytokine that accounts for several neurobiological and cognitive aspects of AD, particularly cognitive decline across the AD spectrum. The microglial biomarker C3LP1, by contrast, performed modestly or did not account for AD-related indices. This research may advance the current understanding of AD etiopathogenesis, while expanding early diagnostic techniques by using novel pro-inflammatory biomarkers such as NPTX2.



CHAPTER 6: SUPPLEMENTAL TEXT

6.1. Supplemental Text 1

See the embedded table below for the full list of 21 LC/MRM-MS analytes representing 9 proteins. Each of the inflammation biomarkers is briefly described. Given that multiple peptides represent a given protein in this panel, the selection process is then described for which peptide is used in stepwise regression analyses.

Alpha-1-antitrypsin (A1AT)

A1AT, also known as alpha-1 proteinase inhibitor (A1P1), inhibits and controls serine proteases for normal biological processes⁹⁴. Through inhibition of proteolytic enzymes, it mediates inflammatory processes and prevents unwanted tissue breakdown. A1AT operates as an anti-inflammatory protein that regulates pro-inflammatory enzymes through covalent bonding, making it a poly-functional molecule. The efficacy of A1AT can be seen in its suppression of superoxide production by activated neutrophils, which reduces oxidant-driven inflammation in tissues. A1AT also has an anti-inflammatory role through its production and release of tumor necrosis factor– α (TNF α). The A1AT (SVLGQLGITK) was selected because it was the only A1AT peptide to load in ADNI diagnostic prediction models⁸⁴.

CD14

A glycoprotein of the innate immune system, CD14 is found on the surface of many Toll-Like Receptor 4 (TLR4) expressing cells, such as macrophages and neutrophils. It acts like a receptor for lipopolysaccharide (LPS) complexes and LPS-



binding protein. It is involved in LPS-induced cytokine activation. It is also important for TNFA α expression⁹⁵. CD14 is a functional protein that exhibits its effects through signal transmission for cytokines⁹⁶. CD14 (SWLAELQQWLKPGLK) was selected because it was the only CD14 peptide to load in ADNI diagnostic prediction models⁸⁴.

Complement 3 (CO3)

CO3 is a functional serum protein that plays a central role in the activation of the classic, alternative, and lectin pathways of the complement system, and promotes inflammation-induced immune reaction⁹⁷. It beneficially influences innate immunity by promoting phagocytosis, supporting inflammatory responses, and instructing the adaptive immune response to select appropriate antigens for a humoral response. Uncontrolled activation of this peptide can also have negative effects. CO3 (IHWESASLLR) was selected because it was the only peptide to load for predicting MCI conversion⁸⁴, and it best accounted for variance in memory decline and MTL atrophy by 24 months. While many other peptides were available for other complement proteins, CO3 is of central importance to regulating the complement system.

Chitinase 3-like Protein 1 (C3LP1)/YKL-40

C3LP1 is a pro-inflammatory cytokine found in inflammatory environments that is secreted by chondrocytes, differentiated macrophages, neutrophils, and synovial cells. It works to stimulate an inflammatory response from immune system cells and connective tissue cells. C3LP1's pro-inflammatory effects are sequential to its inhibition of the vital processes of immune cell apoptosis⁷⁸. Levels of this biomarker are found to be increased



in chronic inflammatory disorders⁷⁸. Elevated levels of C3LP1 in CSF have recently been associated with chronic neuroinflammation and resultant conditions⁹⁸. During neuroinflammatory processes, C3LP1 is found to be induced in astrocytes by the inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β and TNF α ⁹⁸. Inflammatory cytokines TNF and interleukin-1 can induce steady state levels of C3LP1⁹⁹. C3LP1 (ILGQQVPYATK) was selected because preliminary stepwise regression analyses suggested that it explained the most variance in memory decline and MTL atrophy by 24 months.

Interleukin-18 (IL-18)

IL-18 is a pro-inflammatory cytokine that establishes innate cell-mediated immunity and an inflammatory response in the body through T-cell activation, induction of Interferon γ (IFN γ), granulocyte macrophage (GM-CSF), TNF and IL-1 cells and upregulation of chemokine receptors¹⁰⁰. It has been implicated to have increased levels in AD brains(Sutinen EM, 2012). IL-18 was found to increase amyloid-beta production, a hallmark of AD¹⁰¹. IL-18 acts bilaterally as a pro-inflammatory cytokine and as a strong inducer of atopic immune responses. IL-18 (LWEGSTSR) was the only available peptide for analysis.

Osteopontin (OSTP)

OSTP, a highly acidic secreted phosphoprotein, is found in bone and tissues. It is a regulator of immune system signaling and inflammatory responses through chemotactic cell recruitment to inflammatory sites. OSTP is expressed by various immune cells and is found to be upregulated in many immune system responses¹⁰². Immune modulation and



OSTP antibody production occur when OSTP acts as a cytokine through interaction with cellular and humoral receptors of the immune system. This protein is a participant in the pathogenesis of many autoimmune related diseases. OSTP (AIPVAQDLNAPSDWDSR) was the only available peptide for analysis.

C-Reactive Protein (CRP)

CRP is a pentameric serum protein made in response to IL-6 and whose levels markedly rise in response to systemic inflammation. CRP's inflammatory response in chronic disease states is instigated by the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines. The primary role of CRP is to regulate acute inflammation by altering the equilibrium between pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines and activating complement proteins¹⁰³. CRP (ESDTSYVSLK) was the only available peptide for analysis.

Neuronal Pentraxin 1 (NPTX1)

NPTX1 is a protein of the neuronal long pentraxin family that is similar to the small pentraxins, such as CRP. It is homologous to NPTX2. It is produced by neurons in response to low activity, affecting mitochondrial function and contributing to neurodegeneration through apoptosis¹⁰⁴. NPTX1 also plays a role in excitatory synaptic plasticity, where amyloid-beta treatment increases NPTX1 and leads to synapse loss¹⁰⁵. NPTX1 has been labeled as a dual action protein as seen in it's both beneficial synapse formation and negative neurodegeneration effects¹⁰⁶. NPTX1 (LENLEQYSR) was the only peptide to load in ADNI diagnostic prediction model⁸⁴.



Neuronal Pentraxin 2 (NPTX2)

NPTX2, another secreted neuronal long pentraxin, functions primarily to facilitate excitatory synaptogenesis by facilitating aggregation of α -amino-3-hydroxyl-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate (AMPA) receptors. It is involved in formation of synapses, synaptic plasticity and plays a role in eradicating synaptic debris¹⁰⁶. NPTX2 (TESTNALLQR) was selected for analysis based on its predictive value of distinguishing MCI from AD patients⁸⁴.

Protein	Peptide
A1AT	AVLTIDEK
A1AT	LSITGTYDLK
A1AT	SVLGQLGITK
CD14	AFPALTSLDLSDNPGLGER
CD14	FPAIQNLALR
CD14	SWLAELQQWLKPGLK
CO3	IHWESASLLR
CO3	LSINTHPSQKPLSITVR
CO3	TELRPGETLNVNFLLR
CO3	TGLQEVEVK
CO3	VPVAVQGEDTVQSLTQGDGVAK
C3LP1	ILGQQVPYATK
C3LP1	SFTLASSETGVGAPISGPGIPGR
C3LP1	VTIDSSYDIAK
IL18	LWEGSTSR
OSTP	AIPVAQDLNAPSDWDSR
CRP	ESDTSYVSLK
NPTX1	FQLTFPLR
NPTX1	LENLEQYSR
NPTX2	LESLEHQLR
NPTX2	TESTLNALLQR



6.2. Supplemental Text 2 Biomarker selection using randomized 50% sub-samples (stepwise regression and lasso regression)

These supplemental analyses were done to further validate the stepwise selection of NPTX2 and C3LP1 as the only significant predictor variables using the full n=285 sample. Validation occurred through 10 randomized iterations, where we split the sample by 50% to first conduct biomarker selection and model creation, followed by model validation using the other 50% of the sample. Specifically, for each randomized iteration, we put the 9 pro-inflammatory CSF mass spectrometry biomarkers into a stepwise block after entering covariates in the first block. Using inclusion and exclusion criteria of Alpha < .05 and Alpha > .10, we determined which biomarkers significantly loaded onto the model. For models where NPTX2 and/or C3LP1 loaded, we then conducted repeated measures linear mixed models, to see to what degree NPTX2 and/or C3LP1 predicted our outcomes of interest (memory decline; brain atrophy) at Months 0, 6, 12, and 24 (see **Supplemental Text 5**).

The table below illustrates biomarker selection results for the 10 randomized iterations.

Supplemental Text 2 Embedded Table. Biomarker Selection of 10 Random Iterations

Memory				Atrophy				
Iteration	1 st biomarker	2 nd biomarker	3 rd biomarker	Iteration	1 st biomarker	2 nd biomarker	3 rd biomarker	4 th biomarker
1	NPTX2	C3LP1	IL-18	1	NPTX2	C3LP1		
2	NPTX2	C3LP1		2	NPTX2	C3LP1		
3	NPTX2	C3LP1		3	C3LP1	CD-14		
4	NPTX2	Osteopontin		4	NPTX2	C3LP1		
5	None	None		5	NPTX2	C3LP1	A1antitropsin	
6	NPTX2	C3LP1		6	NPTX2	C3LP1		
7	NPTX2	C3LP1		7	CRP			
8	NPTX1	C3LP1	IL-18	8	NPTX2	C3LP1		
9	NPTX2	C3LP1		9	NPTX2	C3LP1		
10	NPTX2			10	NPTX2	C3LP1	CD-14	Osteopontin

The table indicates that for predicting memory decline at month 24, stepwise regression selected C3LP1 7 out of 10 random iterations and NPTX2 8 out of 10 random iterations.



For temporal atrophy at month 24, stepwise regression selected C3LP1 9 out of 10 random iterations and NPTX2 8 out of 10 random iterations. When selected, NPTX2 and C3LP1 loaded as the first and second selected biomarkers respectively. These results suggest that NPTX2 and C3LP1 consistently loaded onto the model, validating their selection using the full cohort.

In addition to our 50%/50% model selection and validation, we also performed Lasso regression for both temporal atrophy and memory decline. Lasso regression confirmed that NPTX2 and C3LP1 were consistently selected as the only significant predictors in the first six most stringent statistical models tested (tables not shown). These Lasso analyses further validated our initial stepwise regression process using the full sample and our supplementary stepwise biomarker selection analyses using 50% of the sample.

6.3. Supplemental Text 3

In these supplementary analyses, we compared stepwise regression performance of inflammation-related biomarkers from two ADNI Biomarker Consortium CSF Proteomics Project panels. We specifically examined if the selected LC/MRM-MS NPTX2 (TESTLNALLQR) and C3LP1 (ILGQQVPYATK) peptides performed better than or comparable to inflammation-associated proteins in CSF from a Rules Based Medicine (MyriadRBM) multiplex assay. The outcomes of interest were MTL atrophy and memory decline by month 24 relative to baseline. Covariates were put in using the 'enter' method in the first model step and included age, sex, education, clinical diagnosis



at baseline, and APOE Status. Predictors were then put in using the 'stepwise' method in the second model step.

Methods for the MyriadRBM panel are currently described in the Consortium's white paper (See "2011Dec19 Biomarkers Consortium Data Primer PDF-2" under 'Biospecimen Results' at <u>http://ida.loni.usc.edu</u>). Briefly, the Luminex xMAP immunoassay panel ("discovery MAP") was run on 159 CSF analytes relevant to Alzheimer's etiology or progression. Extensive quality control (QC) was performed including test/retest samples, assessing volumetric and mechanical functionality of the system, and confidence levels of analyte results in comparison to the MyriadRBM protocol. We obtained values from the ADNI CSF QC Multiplex data set. For exploration purposes, we examined all 27 inflammation-related analytes (see **Table e-1**) regardless of QC confidence intervals. Many QC analytes had no sample in the detectable range.

For MTL atrophy by 24 months, among 229 participants with longitudinal brain data, MyriadRBM biomarkers were first assessed. Interleukin-3 significantly loaded onto the model [F Change(1,222) = 9.179, p = .003]. Adjusted R-Squares indicated that interleukin-3 explained an additional 1.8% of the variance beyond the 48.5% attributed to covariates. In a subsequent stepwise model, among 227 participants with both MyriadRBM and MRM-MS data, interleukin-3 was added in the same step with NPTX2 and C3LP1. Both NPTX2 [F Change(1,220) = 17.623, p < .001] and C3LP1 [F Change(1,220) = 16.786, p < .001] loaded significantly, whereas interleukin-3 was a marginal contributor and therefore excluded [t = 1.921, p = .056].



For memory decline by 24 months, among 260 participants with longitudinal neuropsychological data, MyriadRBM biomarkers were first assessed. Angiopoietin-2 [F Change (1,253) = 7.873, p = .005] and RANTES [F Change (1,252) = 4.576, p = .033] both loaded as significant predictors. These analytes explained an additional 1.2% and 1.1% of the variance in memory decline beyond 16.3% attributed to covariates. In a subsequent stepwise model, among 258 participants with both MyriadRBM and MRM-MS data, Angiopoietin-2 and RANTES were added in the same step with NPTX2 and C3LP1. All of the predictors loaded significantly [NPTX2: F Change (1,251) = 12.918, p < .001; C3LP1: F Change (1,250) = 5.173, p = .024; Angiopoietin-2: F Change (1,249) = 5.086, p = .025; RANTES: F Change (1, 248) = 4.357, p = .038], respectively explaining an additional 3.8%, 1.3%, 1.3%, and 1.0% of the variance beyond the 16.4% attributed to covariates.

These results suggest that C3LP1 and NPTX2 are consistently implicated in both MTL atrophy and memory decline in ADNI. Therefore, we continued to use these peptide biomarkers in subsequent analyses. By contrast, MyriadRBM biomarkers appear to be useful predictors only for memory decline. Several limitations should be acknowledged. The MyriadRBM panel surveyed many inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, tumor necrosis factors, and interferons. Unfortunately, detection limits precluded analysis of many potentially viable biomarkers in CSF. We also did not comparatively test panel differences for other brain regions that exhibit Alzheimer's-related atrophy over time, such as prefrontal cortex.



6.4. Supplemental Text 4

Across time, NPTX2 x Time interactions for MMSE [F=6.787, P<.001], CDRsob [F=10.497, P<.001], and ADAScog-11 [F=9.019, P<.001] indicated that higher levels predicted less global decline. For example, by month 24, higher baseline NPTX2 predicted higher MMSE [β ±SE=1.49±0.33, F=6.79, P<.001], lower CDR-sob [β ±SE=-0.98±0.19, F=10.50, P<.001], and lower ADAScog-11 scores [β ±SE=-2.65±0.54, F=9.02, P<.001]. The converse pattern was seen with the C3LP1 x Time interactions for MMSE [F=3.911, P=.021], CDR-sob [F=6.439, P=.002], and ADAScog-11 [F=7.239, P=.001]. For example, by month 24, higher C3LP1 levels predicted lower MMSE [β ±SE=-1.85±0.53, F=3.91, P=.021], higher CDR-sob [β ±SE=0.80±0.30, F=6.44, P=.002], and higher ADAScog-11 [β ±SE=2.38±0.86, F=7.24, P<.001]. Exploratory interactions with covariates revealed no significant effects after Holm-Bonferroni correction.

6.5. Supplemental Text 5

Confirmatory tests of biomarkers using randomized 50% sub-samples (mixed models)

These supplemental analyses were done to confirm models created from the biomarker selection step (see **Supplemental Text 2**). The repeated measures linear mixed models analyses for the table below were performed using a randomly selected 50% of subjects from the n=285 cohort per iteration. The term of interest was a Predictor x Time interaction, to determine to what degree a predictor explained variance in the outcome over time. The number of confirmation test iterations for NPTX2 and C3LP1 was directly proportional to the number of times NPTX2 and/or C3LP1 were selected in the model generation step using the other randomly selected 50% of subjects from the full cohort.



For example, as shown in **Supplemental Text 2**, NPTX2 and C3LP1 were chosen in the model generation phase 8 out of 10 and 7 out of 10 times respectively. Therefore, we conducted 8 randomized confirmation tests to regress NPTX2 against memory decline over time, and similarly conducted 7 randomized confirmation tests to regress C3LP1 against memory decline over time.

The table below shows the R-squared value and p-value per random iteration for NPTX2 and C3LP1. We also list the mean R-squared value and p-value. For simplicity, we only report the R-squared in predicting medial temporal atrophy and memory decline between months 12 to 24. P values for the Predictor by Time interaction are noted below each R-squared for a given random iteration.

Supplemental Text 5 Embedded Table. R^2 value and p-value per random iteration for NPTX2 and C3LP1.

Memory Decline										
NPTX2	Iteration 1	Iteration 2	Iteration 3	Iteration 4	Iteration 5	Iteration 6	Iteration 7	Iteration 8		Mean
M12 to M24 R-squared value	0.34	0.543	0.407	0.502	0.293	0.266	0.218	0.501		0.384
NPTX2 * Time: p value	0.003	< .001	< .001	< .001	0.003	0.007	0.003	< .001		< .001
C3LP1										
M12 to M24 R-squared value	0.04	0.065	0.082	0.041	0.03	0.037	0.023			0.045
C3LP1 * Time: p value	0.312	0.136	0.034	0.267	0.424	0.232	0.608			0.288
Medial Temporal Atroph	у									
NPTX2	Iteration 1	Iteration 2	Iteration 3	Iteration 4	Iteration 5	Iteration 6	Iteration 7	Iteration 8	Iteration 9	Mean
M12 to M24 R-squared value	0.125	0.251	0.434	0.214	0.243	0.123	0.28	0.317		0.248
NPTX2 * Time: p value	0.003	0.007	0	0	0	0	0.003	0.003		< .001
C3LP1										
M12 to M24 R-squared value	0.159	0.123	0.122	0.054	0.049	0.157	0.132	0.034	0.058	0.099
C3LP1 * Time: p value	< .001	0.015	< .001	0.002	< .001	< .001	< .001	0.001	0.024	0.005



6.6. Supplemental Figure 1.



Supplemental Figure 1. NPTX2 and Memory Performance across Time

Associations between baseline NPTX2 and change over time for the memory factor score relative to baseline at months 6 (Time 1), 12 (Time 2), and 24 (Time 3). The solid and dotted blue lines indicate mean atrophy over time for subjects at 1-2SD above or below the mean for NPTX2 respectively. Please note that C3LP1 was not a significant predictor of change in the memory factor over time. NPTX2, neuronal pentraxin 2.



6.7. Supplementary Figure 2.



<u>Supplemental Figure 2. Mass Spectrometry Biomarkers and Medial Temporal Atrophy</u> <u>across Time</u>

Associations between baseline NPTX2 (left graph) or C3LP1 (right graph) and change in medial temporal lobe (MTL) gray matter volume, expressed as a percentage relative to baseline and months 6 (Time 1), 12 (Time 2), and 24 (Time 3). The solid and dotted blue lines indicate mean atrophy over time for subjects at 1-2SD above or below the mean for NPTX2 respectively. The solid and dotted red lines indicate mean atrophy over time for subjects at 1-2SD above or time for subjects at 1-2SD above or below the mean for subjects at 1-2SD above or below the mean for C3LP1 respectively. C3LP1, chitinase-3-like-protein 1; NPTX2, neuronal pentraxin 2.



6.8. Supplemental Figure 3



Supplemental Figure 3. Modulation of NPTX2 and CSF Amyloid Associations by APOE Genotype

The association between baseline CSF $A\beta$ 1-42 and NPTX2t among non-APOE4 or APOE4 carriers. The star and triangle shapes indicate values for non-APOE4

and APOE4 carriers respectively. The R^{-} reflects the proportion of variance in amyloid burden as explained by the associations of NPTX2 for APOE4 and non-APOE4 carriers. Covariates included age at baseline, sex, education, APOE ε 4 genotype, and baseline clinical diagnosis. NPTX2, neuronal pentraxin 2.



6.9. Supplemental Table 1

Supplemental Table 1. Inflammation-Related Biomarkers

Supplemental Table 1. Inflammation-Related Bio	markers
Rules Based Medicine (RBM) Biomarker	Unit
Alpha-1-Antitrypsin	mg/mL
Angiopoietin-2	ng/mL
C-Reactive Protein	ug/mL
CD 40 antigen	ng/mL
Chemokine CC4	ng/mL
Complement C3	mg/mL
Fas Ligand	pg/mL
Immunoglobulin A	mg/mL
Interferon gamma Induced Protein 10	pg/mL
Interleukin-16	pg/mL
Interleukin-25	pg/mL
Interleukin-3	ng/mL
Interleukin-6 receptor	ng/mL
Interleukin-8	pg/mL
Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor 1	ng/mL
Macrophage Inflammatory Protein-1 beta	pg/mL
Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor	ng/mL
Monocyte Chemotactic Protein 1	pg/mL
Monocyte Chemotactic Protein 2	pg/mL
Monokine Induced by Gamma Interferon	pg/mL
Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin	ng/mL
Osteopontin	ng/mL
Resistin	ng/mL
T Lymphocyte-Secreted Protein I-309	pg/mL
T-Cell-Specific Protein RANTES	ng/mL
TNF-Related Apoptosis-Inducing Ligand	ng/mL
TNF Receptor 2	ng/mL
INF= Tumor Necrosis Factor	



CHAPTER 7: REFERENCES

- 1. Castellani RJ, Rolston RK, Smith M. Alzheimer Disease. *Dis a Mon*. 2011;56(9):1-60. doi:10.1016/j.disamonth.2010.06.001.Alzheimer.
- Busciglio J, Lorenzo A, Yeh J, Yankner BA. Beta-Amyloid Fibrils Induce Tau-Phosphorylation and Loss of Microtubule-Binding. *Neuron*. 1995;14(4):879-888. doi:Doi 10.1016/0896-6273(95)90232-5.
- 3. Swerdlow RH. Pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*. 2007;2(3):347-359.
- Walsh DM, Klyubin I, Shankar GM, et al. The role of cell-derived oligomers of Aβ in Alzheimer's disease and avenues for therapeutic intervention. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2005;33:1087-1090. doi:10.1042/BST20051087.
- 5. Hartman RE, Izumi Y, Bales KR, Paul SM, Wozniak DF, Holtzman DM. Treatment with an Amyloid- β Antibody Ameliorates Plaque Load, Learning Deficits, and Hippocampal Long-Term Potentiation in a Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *J Neurosci.* 2005;25(26):6213-6220. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0664-05.2005.
- 6. Iqbal K, Liu F, Gong C-X, Grundke-Iqbal I. Tau in Alzheimer Disease and Related Tauopathies. *Current Alzheimer research*. 2010;7(8):656-664.
- Ittner A, Chua SW, Bertz J, et al. Site-specific phosphorylation of tau inhibits amyloid-β toxicity in Alzheimer's mice. *Science*. 2016;354(6314):904-908. doi:10.1126/science.aah6205.
- Bloom GS. Amyloid-β and Tau. *JAMA Neurol*. 2014;71(4):505. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.5847.
- Zempel H, Luedtke J, Kumar Y, et al. Amyloid-β oligomers induce synaptic damage via Tau-dependent microtubule severing by TTLL6 and spastin. *EMBO J*. 2013;32(22):2920-2937. doi:10.1038/emboj.2013.207.
- Moya-Alvarado G, Gershoni-Emek N, Perlson E, Bronfman FC. Neurodegeneration and Alzheimer's disease (AD). What Can Proteomics Tell Us About the Alzheimer's Brain? *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2016;15(2):409-425. doi:10.1074/mcp.R115.053330.
- 11. Alzheimer's Association. Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2013;9:110-133. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2013.02.003.



- Stefanacci RG. The costs of Alzheimer's disease and the value of effective therapies. *Am J Manag Care*. 2011;17 Suppl 1(november):S356-62. doi:53094 [pii].
- Yiannopoulou KG, Papageorgiou SG. Current and future treatments for Alzheimer's disease. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*. 2013;6:19-33. doi:10.1177/1756285612461679.
- Weintraub S, Wicklund AH, Salmon DP. The neuropsychological profile of Alzheimer disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* 2012;2(4). doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a006171.
- 15. Crews L, Masliah E. Molecular mechanisms of neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2010;19(R1). doi:10.1093/hmg/ddq160.
- Bailey CH, Bartsch D, Kandel ER. Toward a molecular definition of long-term memory storage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1996;93(24):13445-13452. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.24.13445.
- 17. Squire LR, Zola-Morgan S. The medial temporal lobe memory system. *Science* (80-). 1991;253(5026):1380-1386. doi:10.1126/science.1896849.
- 18. Zola-Morgan S, Squire LR. Neuroanatomy of memory. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 1993;16:547-563. doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.16.1.547.
- Tsien JZ, Huerta PT, Tonegawa S. The essential role of hippocampal CA1 NMDA receptor-dependent synaptic plasticity in spatial memory. *Cell*. 1996;87(7):1327-1338. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81827-9.
- Lüscher C, Xia H, Beattie EC, et al. Role of AMPA Receptor Cycling in Synaptic Transmission and Plasticity. *Neuron*. 1999;24(3):649-658. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)81119-8.
- 21. Voglis G, Tavernarakis N. The role of synaptic ion channels in synaptic plasticity. *EMBO Rep.* 2006;7(11):1104-1110. doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400830.
- 22. Bliss TVP, Lømo T. Long-lasting potentiation of synaptic transmission in the dentate area of the anaesthetized rabbit following stimulation of the perforant path. *The Journal of Physiology*. 1973;232(2):331-356.
- 23. Mayford M, Siegelbaum SA, Kandel ER. Synapses and memory storage. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 2012;4(6):1-18. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a005751.
- 24. Martin SJ, Morris RGM. New life in an old idea: The synaptic plasticity and memory hypothesis revisited. *Hippocampus*. 2002;12(5):609-636. doi:10.1002/hipo.10107.



- 25. Takeuchi T, Duszkiewicz AJ, Morris RGM. The synaptic plasticity and memory hypothesis: encoding, storage and persistence. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2014;369(1633):20130288. doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0288.
- 26. Sheng M, Sabatini B, Südhof TC. Synapses and Alzheimer's disease. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology*. 2012;4(5):10.1101/cshperspect.a005777 a005777. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a005777.
- Shankar GM, Bloodgood BL, Townsend M, Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ, Sabatini BL. Natural Oligomers of the Alzheimer Amyloid-β Protein Induce Reversible Synapse Loss by Modulating an NMDA-Type Glutamate Receptor-Dependent Signaling Pathway. *J Neurosci.* 2007;27(11):2866-2875. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4970-06.2007.
- 28. Kamenetz F, Tomita T, Hsieh H, et al. APP processing and synaptic function. *Neuron*. 2003;37(6):925-937. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00124-7.
- 29. VanGuilder HD, Farley JA, Yan H, et al. Hippocampal dysregulation of synaptic plasticity-associated proteins with age-related cognitive decline. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2011;43(1):201-212. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2011.03.012.
- Saura C a, Parra-Damas A, Enriquez-Barreto L. Gene expression parallels synaptic excitability and plasticity changes in Alzheimer's disease. *Front Cell Neurosci*. 2015;9(August):318. doi:10.3389/fncel.2015.00318.
- Cribbs DH, Berchtold NC, Perreau V, et al. Extensive innate immune gene activation accompanies brain aging, increasing vulnerability to cognitive decline and neurodegeneration: a microarray study. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2012;9:1. doi:10.1186/1742-2094-9-179.
- Kamat PK, Tota S, Shukla R, Ali S, Najmi AK, Nath C. Mitochondrial dysfunction: A crucial event in okadaic acid (ICV) induced memory impairment and apoptotic cell death in rat brain. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2011;100(2):311-319. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2011.08.019.
- 33. Ben Achour S, Pascual O. Glia: The many ways to modulate synaptic plasticity. *Neurochem Int*. 2010;57(4):440-445. doi:10.1016/j.neuint.2010.02.013.
- Hensley K. Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease: Mechanisms, pathologic consequences, and potential for therapeutic manipulation. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2010;21(1):1-14. doi:10.3233/JAD-2010-1414.



- 35. Dursun E, Gezen-Ak D, Hanağası H, et al. The interleukin 1 alpha, interleukin 1 beta, interleukin 6 and alpha-2-macroglobulin serum levels in patients with early or late onset Alzheimer's disease, mild cognitive impairment or Parkinson's disease. *J Neuroimmunol.* 2015;283(2015):50-57. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2015.04.014.
- Van Eldik LJ, Carrillo M, Cole PE, et al. The roles of inflammation and immune mechanisms in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement Transl Res Clin Interv*. 2016;2:99-109. doi:10.1016/j.trci.2016.05.001.
- 37. Morales I, Guzmán-Martínez L, Cerda-Troncoso C, Farías GA, Maccioni RB. Neuroinflammation in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. A rational framework for the search of novel therapeutic approaches. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*. 2014;8:112. doi:10.3389/fncel.2014.00112.
- 38. Akiyama H, Barger S, Barnum S, et al. Inflammation and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2000;21(3):383-421. doi:10.1016/S0197-4580(00)00124-X.
- Nazem A, Sankowski R, Bacher M, Al-Abed Y. Rodent models of neuroinflammation for Alzheimer's disease. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2015;12(1):74. doi:10.1186/s12974-015-0291-y.
- Guillot-Sestier M-V, Town T. Innate immunity in Alzheimer's disease: a complex affair. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets. 2013;12(5):593-607. doi:10.2174/1871527311312050008.
- Lee JW, Lee YK, Yuk DY, et al. Neuro-inflammation induced by lipopolysaccharide causes cognitive impairment through enhancement of betaamyloid generation. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2008;5:37. doi:10.1186/1742-2094-5-37.
- Tai LM, Ghura S, Koster KP, et al. APOE-modulated Aβ-induced neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease: Current landscape, novel data, and future perspective. *J Neurochem*. 2015;133(4):465-488. doi:10.1111/jnc.13072.
- 43. Griffin WS, Stanley LC, Ling C, et al. Brain interleukin 1 and S-100 immunoreactivity are elevated in Down syndrome and Alzheimer disease. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1989;86(19):7611-7615.
- Wake H, Moorhouse AJ, Jinno S, Kohsaka S, Nabekura J. Resting microglia directly monitor the functional state of synapses in vivo and determine the fate of ischemic terminals. *J Neurosci*. 2009;29(13):3974-3980. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4363-08.2009.



- 45. Nimmerjahn A, Kirchhoff F, Helmchen F. Resting microglial cells are highly dynamic surveillants of brain parenchyma in vivo. *Neuroforum*. 2005;11(3):95-96. doi:10.1126/science.1110647.
- 46. Graeber MB. Changing face of microglia. *Science (80-)*. 2010;330(6005):783-788. doi:10.1126/science.1190929.
- 47. Egensperger R, Kösel S, von Eitzen U, Graeber MB. Microglial activation in Alzheimer disease: Association with APOE genotype. *Brain Pathol.* 1998;8(3):439-447. doi:10.1111/j.1750-3639.1998.tb00166.x.
- Ophir G, Amariglio N, Jacob-Hirsch J, Elkon R, Rechavi G, Michaelson DM. Apolipoprotein E4 enhances brain inflammation by modulation of the NF-kappaB signaling cascade. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2005;20(3):709-718. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2005.05.002.
- 49. Griffin WST. Inflammation and neurodegenerative diseases 1 4. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2006;83(suppl)(8):470S-4S. doi:83/2/470S [pii].
- Ben Menachem-Zidon O, Avital A, Ben-Menahem Y, et al. Astrocytes support hippocampal-dependent memory and long-term potentiation via interleukin-1 signaling. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2011;25(5):1008-1016. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2010.11.007.
- 51. Goshen I, Yirmiya R. Interleukin-1 (IL-1): A central regulator of stress responses. *Front Neuroendocrinol.* 2009;30(1):30-45. doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2008.10.001.
- Weiss N, Miller F, Cazaubon S, Couraud PO. The blood-brain barrier in brain homeostasis and neurological diseases. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Biomembr*. 2009;1788(4):842-857. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2008.10.022.
- 53. Yirmiya R, Goshen I. Immune modulation of learning, memory, neural plasticity and neurogenesis . *Brain BehavImmun*. 2011;25(1090-2139 (Electronic)):181-213.
- 54. Weitz TM, Town T. Microglia in alzheimer's disease: It's all about context. *Int J Alzheimers Dis.* 2012. doi:10.1155/2012/314185.
- 55. Wyss-Coray T. Inflammation in Alzheimer disease: driving force, bystander or beneficial response? *Nat Med.* 2006;12(9):1005-1015. doi:10.1038/nm1484.
- Breunig JJ, Guillot-Sestier MV, Town T. Brain injury, neuroinflammation and Alzheimer's disease. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2013;5(JUL). doi:10.3389/fnagi.2013.00026.



- Town T, Nikolic V, Tan J. The microglial "activation" continuum: from innate to adaptive responses. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2005;2:24. doi:10.1186/1742-2094-2-24.
- McGeer EG, McGeer PL. The importance of inflammatory mechanisms in Alzheimer disease. *Exp Gerontol*. 1998;33(5):371-378. doi:10.1016/S0531-5565(98)00013-8.
- 59. Griffin WST, Sheng JG, Royston MC, et al. Glial-neuronal interactions in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Pathol*. 1998;8:65-72.
- 60. Elbaz I, Lerer-Goldshtein T, Okamoto H, Appelbaum L. Reduced synaptic density and deficient locomotor response in neuronal activity-regulated pentraxin 2a mutant zebrafish. *FASEB J*. 2015;29(4):1220-1234. doi:10.1096/fj.14-258350.
- 61. Hsu YC, Perin MS. Human neuronal pentraxin II (NPTX2): conservation, genomic structure, and chromosomal localization. *Genomics*. 1995;28(2):220-227. doi:10.1006/geno.1995.1134.
- 62. Reti IM, Blouin AM, Worley PF, Holland PC, Johnson AW, Baraban JM. Mediating the Effects of Drug Abuse: The Role of Narp in Synaptic Plasticity. *ILAR J.* 2011;52(3):321-328. doi:10.1093/ilar.52.3.321.
- 63. Tsui CC, Copeland NG, Gilbert DJ, Jenkins N a, Barnes C, Worley PF. Narp, a novel member of the pentraxin family, promotes neurite outgrowth and is dynamically regulated by neuronal activity. *J Neurosci*. 1996;16(8):2463-2478.
- 64. Appelbaum L, Wang G, Yokogawa T, et al. Circadian and homeostatic regulation of structural synaptic plasticity in hypocretin neurons. *Neuron*. 2010;68(1):87-98. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.09.006.
- Xu D, Hopf C, Reddy R, et al. Narp and NP1 form heterocomplexes that function in developmental and activity-dependent synaptic plasticity. *Neuron*. 2003;39(3):513-528. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00463-X.
- 66. Moran LB, Hickey L, Michael GJ, et al. Neuronal pentraxin II is highly upregulated in Parkinson's disease and a novel component of Lewy bodies. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2008;115(4):471-478. doi:10.1007/s00401-007-0309-3.
- Dodds D, Omeis I, Cushman S, Hekms J, Perin M. Neuronal pentraxin receptor, a noval putative integral membrane pentraxin that interacts with neuronal pentraxin 1 and 2 and taipoxin-associated calcium-binding protein. *J Biol Chem*. 1997;272(34):21488-21494. doi:10.1074/jbc.272.34.21488.



- Bjartmar L, Huberman AD, Ullian EM, et al. Neuronal pentraxins mediate synaptic refinement in the developing visual system. *J Neurosci*. 2006;26(23):6269-6281. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4212-05.2006.
- Bottazzi B, Doni A, Garlanda C, Mantovani A. An integrated view of humoral innate immunity: pentraxins as a paradigm. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2010;28(November 2009):157-183. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-030409-101305.
- Garlanda C, Bottazzi B, Bastone A, Mantovani A. Pentraxins At the Crossroads Between Innate Immunity, Inflammation, Matrix Deposition, and Female Fertility. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2005;23(1):337-366. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.23.021704.115756.
- Bíró A, Rovó Z, Papp D, et al. Studies on the interactions between C-reactive protein and complement proteins. *Immunology*. 2007;121(1):40-50. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2567.2007.02535.x.
- 72. Siegel J, Rent R, Gewurz H. INTERACTIONS OF C-REACTIVE PROTEIN WITH THE COMPLEMENT SYSTEM : I. PROTAMINE-INDUCED CONSUMPTION OF COMPLEMENT IN ACUTE PHASE SERA. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 1974;140(3):631-647.
- 73. Bales KR, Du Y, Dodel RC, Yan GM, Hamilton-Byrd E, Paul SM. The NFkappaB/Rel family of proteins mediates Abeta-induced neurotoxicity and glial activation. *Mol brain Res.* 1998;57(1):63-72.
- Canto E, Tintore M, Villar LM, et al. Chitinase 3-like 1: Prognostic biomarker in clinically isolated syndromes. *Brain*. 2015;138(4):918-931. doi:10.1093/brain/awv017.
- Kester MI, Teunissen CE, Sutphen C, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid VILIP-1 and YKL-40, candidate biomarkers to diagnose, predict and monitor Alzheimer's disease in a memory clinic cohort. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2015;7:9. doi:10.1186/s13195-015-0142-1.
- Kzhyshkowska J, Gratchev A, Goerdt S. Human Chitinases and Chitinase-Like Proteins as Indicators for Inflammation and Cancer. *Biomarker Insights*. 2007;2:128-146.
- Lautner R, Mattsson N, Schöll M, et al. Biomarkers for microglial activation in Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Alzheimers Dis.* 2011;2011:939426. doi:10.4061/2011/939426.



- Lee CG, Da Silva CA, Dela Cruz CS, et al. Role of chitin and chitinase/chitinaselike proteins in inflammation, tissue remodeling, and injury. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2011;73:479-501. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-012110-142250 [doi].
- Sutphen CL, Jasielec MS, Shah AR, et al. Longitudinal Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarker Changes in Preclinical Alzheimer Disease During Middle Age. JAMA Neurol. 2015:1-14. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.1285.
- Craig-Schapiro R, Perrin RJ, Roe CM, et al. YKL-40: A novel prognostic fluid biomarker for preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010;68(10):903-912. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.08.025.
- 81. Willette AA, Modanlo N, Kapogiannis D. Insulin resistance predicts medial temporal hypermetabolism in mild cognitive impairment conversion to Alzheimer disease. *Diabetes*. 2015;64(6):1933-1940. doi:10.2337/db14-1507.
- Crane PK, Carle A, Gibbons LE, et al. Development and assessment of a composite score for memory in the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). *Brain Imaging Behav.* 2012;6(4):502-516. doi:10.1007/s11682-012-9186-z.
- 83. Shaw LM, Vanderstichele H, Knapik-Czajka M, et al. Qualification of the analytical and clinical performance of CSF biomarker analyses in ADNI. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2011;121(5):597-609. doi:10.1007/s00401-011-0808-0.
- 84. Spellman DS, Wildsmith KR, Honigberg LA, et al. Development and evaluation of a multiplexed mass spectrometry based assay for measuring candidate peptide biomarkers in Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) CSF. *Proteomics Clin Appl.* 2015;9:715-731. doi:10.1002/prca.201400178.
- Risacher SL, Saykin AJ. Neuroimaging and Other Biomarkers for Alzheimer's Disease: The Changing Landscape of Early Detection. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2013;9(1):621-648. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185535.
- Hua X, Hibar DP, Ching CRK, et al. Unbiased tensor-based morphometry: Improved robustness and sample size estimates for Alzheimer's disease clinical trials. *Neuroimage*. 2013;66:648-661. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.10.086.
- 87. Astin AW, Denson N. Multi-campus studies of college impact: Which statistical method is appropriate? *Res High Educ*. 2009;50(4):354-367. doi:10.1007/s11162-009-9121-3.
- Knüppel S, Rohde K, Meidtner K, et al. Evaluation of 41 Candidate Gene Variants for Obesity in the EPIC-Potsdam Cohort by Multi-Locus Stepwise Regression. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068941.



- Godbout JP, Johnson RW. Age and Neuroinflammation: A Lifetime of Psychoneuroimmune Consequences. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am*. 2009;29(2):321-337. doi:10.1016/j.iac.2009.02.007.
- 90. Dong Z, Han H, Li H, et al. Long-term potentiation decay and memory loss are mediated by AMPAR endocytosis. *J Clin Invest*. 2015;125(1):234-247. doi:10.1172/JCI77888.
- Serrano-Pozo A, Frosch MP, Masliah E, Hyman BT. Neuropathological alterations in Alzheimer disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2011;1(1). doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a006189.
- 92. Shankar GM, Walsh DM. Alzheimer's disease: synaptic dysfunction and Aβ. *Mol Neurodegener*. 2009;4(1):48. doi:10.1186/1750-1326-4-48.
- 93. Heneka MT, Carson MJ, Khoury J El, et al. Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet Neurol*. 2015;14(4):388-405. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(15)70016-5.
- 94. Stockley RA. The multiple facets of alpha-1-antitrypsin. *Ann Transl Med.* 2015;3(10):130. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2305-5839.2015.04.25.
- 95. Zanoni I, Ostuni R, Marek LR, et al. CD14 controls the LPS-induced endocytosis of toll-like receptor 4. *Cell*. 2011;147(4):868-880. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.09.051.
- Landmann R, Knopf HP, Link S, Sansano S, Schumann R, Zimmerli W. Human monocyte CD14 is upregulated by lipopolysaccharide. *Infect Immun*. 1996;64(5):1762-1769.
- Sahu A, Lambris JD. Structure and biology of complement protein C3, a connecting link between innate and acquired immunity. *Immunol Rev.* 2001;180(4):35-48. doi:10.1034/j.1600-065X.2001.1800103.x.
- 98. Bonneh-Barkay D, Bissel SJ, Kofler J, Starkey A, Wang G, Wiley CA. Astrocyte and macrophage regulation of YKL-40 expression and cellular response in neuroinflammation. *Brain Pathol.* 2012;22(4):530-546. doi:10.1111/j.1750-3639.2011.00550.x.
- Recklies AD, Ling H, White C, Bernier SM. Inflammatory cytokines induce production of CHI3L1 by articular chondrocytes. *J Biol Chem*. 2005;280(50):41213-41221. doi:10.1074/jbc.M510146200.



- 100. Nakanishi K, Yoshimoto T, Tsutsui H, Okamura H. Interleukin-18 is a unique cytokine that stimulates both Th1 and Th2 responses depending on its cytokine milieu. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2001;12(1):53-72. doi:10.1016/S1359-6101(00)00015-0.
- 101. Sutinen EM, Pirttilä T, Anderson G, Salminen A, Ojala JO. Pro-inflammatory interleukin-18 increases Alzheimer's disease-associated amyloid-β production in human neuron-like cells. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2012;9(1):685. doi:10.1186/1742-2094-9-199.
- Sodek J, Ganss B, Mckeel D. Osteopontin. *Crit Rev Oral Bio Med.* 2000;150(i):279-303.
- Marnell L, Mold C, Du Clos TW. C-reactive protein: Ligands, receptors and role in inflammation. *Clin Immunol*. 2005;117(2):104-111. doi:10.1016/j.clim.2005.08.004.
- 104. Figueiro-Silva J, Gruart A, Clayton KB, et al. Neuronal pentraxin 1 negatively regulates excitatory synapse density and synaptic plasticity. *J Neurosci*. 2015;35(14):5504-5521. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2548-14.2015.
- 105. Abad MA, Enguita M, DeGregorio-Rocasolano N, Ferrer I, Trullas R. Neuronal pentraxin 1 contributes to the neuronal damage evoked by amyloid-beta and is overexpressed in dystrophic neurites in Alzheimer's brain. *J Neurosci*. 2006;26(49):12735-12747. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0575-06.2006.
- 106. Osera C, Pascale A, Amadio M, Venturini L, Govoni S, Ricevuti G. Pentraxins and Alzheimer's disease: At the interface between biomarkers and pharmacological targets. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2012;11(2):189-198. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2011.12.004.

